

Published on Web 10/19/2010

Solid-State Photo-CIDNP Effect Observed in Phototropin LOV1-C57S by ¹³C Magic-Angle Spinning NMR Spectroscopy

Smitha Surendran Thamarath,[†] Joachim Heberle,^{‡,§} P. J. Hore,^{II} Tilman Kottke,^{*,‡} and Jörg Matysik^{*,†}

Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Einsteinweg 55, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands, Biophysical Chemistry, Bielefeld University, Universitätsstr. 25, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany, Exp. Mol. Biophysics, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, 14195 Berlin, Germany, and Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Physical & Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QZ, U.K.

Received September 14, 2010; E-mail: j.matysik@chem.leidenuniv.nl; tilman.kottke@uni-bielefeld.de

Abstract: Until now, the solid-state photo-CIDNP effect, discovered in 1994 by Zysmilich and McDermott, has been observed selectively in photosynthetic systems. Here we present the first observation of this effect in a nonphotosynthetic system, the blue-light photoreceptor phototropin LOV1-C57S using ¹³C magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR.

The solid-state photochemically induced dynamic nuclear polarization (photo-CIDNP) effect was observed for the first time in 1994 by McDermott's group in frozen and quinone-blocked bacterial reaction centers (RCs) of ¹⁵N-labeled Rhodobacter (Rb.) sphaeroides R26 by magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR.1 NMR signals can be enhanced by a factor of more than 10 000 due to non-Boltzmann nuclear polarization.^{2,3} Since then, the effect has also been shown in various other RCs including those of algae⁴ and plants,^{5,6} and even at a cellular level.^{3,4} Following unsuccessful attempts to observe polarization in nonphotosynthetic systems, it has been discussed whether the effect might be confined to natural photosynthetic RCs.7 Here we demonstrate that the solid-state photo-CIDNP effect can also be observed in a rather different, nonphotosynthetic protein, a mutant of the light-, oxygen-, or voltage-sensitive (LOV) domain of the blue-light photoreceptor phototropin. Signals were recorded from the flavin cofactor without isotopic enrichment.

Photo-CIDNP is well-known in solution NMR and was explained by the radical pair mechanism (RPM)⁸ soon after its discovery in 1969.9 The RPM is based on molecular diffusion and thus cannot be the proper explanation for the solid-state photo-CIDNP effect. This phenomenon is interpreted by up to three mechanisms running in parallel, three-spin mixing (TSM),¹⁰ differential decay (DD),¹¹ and differential relaxation $(DR)^{12}$ (Scheme 1),¹³ which transfer the initial electron-spin order of the spin-correlated radical pair¹⁴ to nuclear spins. In illuminated RCs, an electron is transferred from the excited electron-donor P^* to a primary acceptor Φ forming an electron-spin-polarized singlet radical pair ${}^{1}(P^{+\bullet} \Phi^{-\bullet})$ undergoing intersystem crossing (ISC) to a triplet radical pair ${}^{3}(P^{+\bullet} \Phi^{-\bullet})$. The electron polarization is transferred by TSM to nuclear polarization during ISC via the anisotropic parts of the hyperfine interactions and the coupling between the electrons. The different lifetimes of singlet and triplet states in the radical pair contribute to the nuclear polarization by the DD mechanism. The DR mechanism occurs in RCs such as R26 that have a long-lived donor triplet state.

Phototropin is a member of the family of flavin-containing bluelight photoreceptors and regulates key responses of plants to light, such **Scheme 1.** Kinetics and Spin Dynamics of Solid State Photo-CIDNP in *Rb. sphaeroides* R26 and Wild-Type (WT) RCs (for Details, See Text)



as phototropic movement and chloroplast relocation.¹⁵ Phototropin comprises two LOV domains, each binding noncovalently a flavin mononucleotide (FMN), and a kinase domain. Upon illumination, the triplet excited state of the flavin reacts with a nearby cysteine residue to form a covalent adduct as the signaling state.¹⁶ Mutation of the reactive cysteine to serine or alanine abolishes this adduct formation. Instead, a less efficient competing pathway of electron transfer from a tryptophan leads to transient accumulation of a flavin neutral radical.¹⁹ The radical is reoxidized by oxygen. We investigated the mutant, C57S, of the phototropin-LOV1 domain from the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Figure 1).²⁰



Figure 1. Structure of the phototropin LOV1 domain in the dark (PDB 1N9L).²¹ Cysteine 57 was replaced by serine in this study. The numbering of the flavin chromophore is included.

[†] Leiden Institute of Chemistry.

[‡] Bielefeld University.

Freie Universität Berlin.
 University of Oxford.

Figure 2. ¹³C MAS NMR spectra of phototropin LOV1-C57S obtained with 8 kHz MAS at a magnetic field of 2.3 T in the dark (A) and under continuous illumination with white light (B).



Figure 3. Expanded view on the aromatic region of the ¹³C MAS NMR spectrum of phototropin LOV1-C57S showing the solid-state photo-CIDNP effect (spectrum 2B).

In Figure 2, the ¹³C MAS NMR spectra of phototropin LOV1-C57S obtained in the dark (A) and under illumination (B) are shown. Both spectra were measured at 2.3 T (i.e., 100 MHz ¹H frequency) using a spinning frequency of 8 kHz. At a set temperature of 235 K, the sample was entirely frozen as monitored by the NMR tuning frequency. A simple Hahn-echo pulse sequence with two-pulse phasemodulation proton-decoupling was used. Continuous illumination was supplied by a 1 kW xenon lamp.²² The cycle delay was 2 s, and the measurement time was about 12 h. In the dark no resonance signal was detected, but under illumination several strong signals appear in the aromatic region. An enlarged view of the aromatic region of the solid-state photo-CIDNP spectrum is presented in Figure 3. All lightinduced ¹³C NMR peaks are emissive (negative). This pattern is reminiscent of the photo-CIDNP MAS NMR spectra obtained from RCs of *Rb. sphaeroides* WT² and of photosystem I⁵ and contrasts with the mixed absorptive/emissive enhancement pattern observed by liquidstate photo-CIDNP of a LOV2 sample.¹⁸ A preliminary assignment of these peaks can be obtained by comparison with the ¹³C chemical shifts of FMN in solution and in the LOV2 domain of Avena sativa phototropin obtained by ¹³C liquid-state NMR (Table S1).¹⁷ Six of the eight light-induced signals can be assigned to the ten aromatic carbons in the FMN cofactor, with four pairs of overlapping resonances (C2 and C4, C5a and C9a, C6 and C9, C7 and C8). The two additional signals at 108.2 and 115.4 ppm appear upfield of the others and probably do not arise from FMN. As known from solid-state photo-CIDNP studies on RCs, polarized signals are observed from both the electron donor and the electron acceptor. Hence, we assume that at least these two signals arise from the electron donor in the spincorrelated radical pair. In fact, the two peaks can be assigned to C^{γ} and $C^{\zeta 2}$ of a tryptophan residue (Trp) (Table S2),²³ while no match for the resonance at 108.2 ppm would be expected from the two other possible electron donors, histidine and tyrosine. Hence, we conclude that we observed nuclear polarization originating from a light-induced [FMN^{-•} Trp^{+•}] radical pair. Trp at position 98 (Figure 1), the only Trp in the protein, is at an about 11 Å edge-to-edge distance from FMN and, thus, at a suitable distance for electron transfer.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that the solid-state photo-CIDNP effect is not a peculiarity of photosynthetic systems but can arise in at least one other photoactive protein. In the same way that photo-CIDNP MAS NMR has provided detailed insights into photosynthetic electron transport in RCs,^{1–7} we anticipate a variety of applications in studies of the functionality of blue-light photoreceptors. For example, it may be possible to characterize in detail the photoinduced flavin and tryptophan radicals in cryptochrome, the flavoprotein that has been proposed as the radical pair magnetoreceptor for the avian magnetic compass.²⁴

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Dr. B. Bode and Prof. Dr. G. Jeschke for stimulating discussions. F. Lefeber, K. B. Sai Sankar Gupta, and K. Erkelens are gratefully acknowledged. This work has been financially supported by The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) through a Middelgroot grant (700.57.107) to J.M. and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FOR526) to J.H. and T.K.

Supporting Information Available: LOV1 expression and purification. NMR measurement details. Table S1: ¹³C assignment to FMN. Table S2: ¹³C assignment to Trp. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Zysmilich, M.; McDermott, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8362–8363.
 Prakash, S.; Alia; Gast, P.; de Groot, H. J. M.; Jeschke, G.; Matysik, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14290–14298.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14290–14298.
 Prakash, S.; Alia; Gast, P.; de Groot, H. J. M.; Matysik, J.; Jeschke, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12794–12799.
- (4) Janssen, G. J.; Daviso, E.; van Son, M.; de Groot, H. J. M.; Alia, A.; Matysik, J. *Photosynth. Res.* 2010, 104, 275–282.
- (5) Alia; Roy, E.; Gast, P.; van Gorkom, H. J.; de Groot, H. J. M.; Jeschke, G.; Matysik, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12819–12826.
- (6) Diller, A.; Roy, E.; Gast, P.; van Gorkom, H. J.; de Groot, H. J. M.; Glaubitz, C.; Jeschke, G.; Matysik, J.; Alia, A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007, 104, 12767–12771.
- (7) Matysik, J.; Diller, A.; Roy, E.; Alia, A. Photosynth. Res. 2009, 102, 427– 435.
- (8) (a) Closs, G. L.; Closs, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4549–4550. (b) Kaptein, R.; Oosterhoff, J. L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1969, 4, 195–197.
 (9) (a) Bargon, J.; Fischer, F.; Johnson, U. Z. Naturforsch. A 1967, 22, 1551–
- (9) (a) Bargon, J.; Fischer, F.; Johnson, U. Z. Naturforsch. A 1967, 22, 1551– 1555. (b) Ward, H. R.; Lawler, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5518– 5519.
- (10) Jeschke, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 106, 10072-10086.
- (11) Polenova, T.; McDermott, A. E. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 535–548.
 (12) McDermott, A.; Zysmilich, M. G.; Polenova, T. Solid State Nucl. Magn.
- Reson. 1998, 11, 21–47.
 (13) (a) Daviso, E.; Jeschke, G.; Matysik, J. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 10269–10278. (b) Jeschke, G.; Matysik, J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 294, 239–255. (c) Daviso, E.; Jeschke, G.; Matysik, J. In Biophysical Techniques in Photosynthesis II; Aartsma, T. J., Matysik, J., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, 2008; pp 385–399.
- (14) (a) Hore, P. J.; Hunter, D. A.; McKie, C. D.; Hoff, A. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1987, 137, 495–500. (b) Closs, G. L.; Forbes, M. D. E.; Norris, J. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 3592–3599.
- (15) (a) Christie, J. M. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2007, 58, 21–45. (b) Christie, J. M.; Reymond, P.; Powell, G. K.; Bernasconi, P.; Raibekas, A. A.; Liscum, E.; Briggs, W. R. Science 1998, 282, 1698–1701.
- E.; Briggs, W. R. Science 1998, 282, 1698–1701.
 (16) Salomon, M.; Christie, J. M.; Knieb, E.; Lempert, U.; Briggs, W. R. Biochemistry 2000, 39, 9401–9410.
- (17) Richter, G.; Weber, S.; Römisch, W.; Bacher, A.; Fischer, M.; Eisenreich, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17245–17252.
- (18) Eisenreich, W.; Joshi, M.; Weber, S.; Bacher, A.; Fischer, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13544–13545.
- (19) (a) Kay, C. W.; Schleicher, E.; Kuppig, A.; Hofner, H.; Rüdiger, W.; Schleicher, M.; Fischer, M.; Bacher, A.; Weber, S.; Richter, G. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 10973–10982. (b) Kottke, T.; Dick, B.; Fedorov, R.; Schlichting, I.; Deutzmann, R.; Hegemann, P. Biochemistry 2003, 42, 9854– 9862.
- (20) Kottke, T.; Heberle, J.; Hehn, D.; Dick, B.; Hegemann, P. *Biophys. J.* 2003, 84, 1192–1201.
- (21) Fedorov, R.; Schlichting, I.; Hartmann, E.; Domratcheva, T.; Fuhrmann, M.; Hegemann, P. *Biophys. J.* **2003**, *84*, 2474–2482.
- (22) Matysik, J.; Alia; Hollander, J. G.; Egorova-Zachernyuk, T.; Gast, P.; de Groot, H. J. M. Indian J. Biochem. Biophys. 2000, 37, 418-423.
- (23) Sun, H.; Oldfield, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4726–4734.
- (24) Ritz, T.; Adem, S.; Schulten, K. Biophys. J. 2000, 78, 707-718.

JA1082969